

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
P 9569	CS1062#SP	STUART WRIGHT	12/17/1999	09/465,946
	,		08/15/2003	75
EXAMINER	EXAMI	BRUCE S SHAPIRO TW199 PATENT DEPARTMENT THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION		
HUBA, MAURINA T	RACHUBA, N			
PAPER NUMBER	ART UNIT		T JOPPA ROAD N. MD 21286	
15	3723		2.2,00	10 5011, 1115
	372 DATE MAILEI			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/465,946	WRIGHT, STUART			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	M Rachuba	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dand will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	June 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Lx parte Quayle, 1905 O.D. 11,	400 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 9-17 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/Application Papers	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/465,946

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 5, 2003 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bourke 5,755,293. '293 discloses a power saw comprising a body and a motor housed in the body (please refer to column 5, lines 9-12), the motor arranged to power a reciprocable shaft on which a blade for sawing may be mounted; a pivotable sole plate attached to the body and arranged to be held relative thereto in a plurality of positions such that in at least one position the sole plate acts as a guide for use of the saw as a jig saw with the blade passing through the sole plate (through the plane of the sole plate) and the body defining a recess within which the sole plate may sit when in at least one of the plurality of positions. See figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/465,946 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4, The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bourke '293 in view of Bradley 1,035,524. It is the examiner's position that '293 discloses the claimed invention, including that the blade is mounted to pass through the sole plate, in that the blade passes through the plane of the sole plate. Note that neither the disclosure or figures discuss or show how the blade passes through the sole plate (please refer to figures 12 and 13. However, if the limitation is read as the blade physically passing through an opening in the sole plate, then the examiner relies on '524 to teach, in a reciprocating saw, a sole plate 29 that is pivotable and in which the blade is mounted to pass through the structure of the sole plate, and not just the plane of the sole plate.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '293 does not disclose a power tool which includes a body and a motor housed within the body. This is incorrect. The drill inherently disclosed is a body and a motor is housed within the body. Applicant further argues that the blade does not pass through the sole plate. Please refer to the

Application/Control Number: 09/465,946

Art Unit: 3723

rejections above. Again, there is no disclosure to structure which would allow the blade and plate to function as argued by applicant.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723 N

mtr August 12, 2003